

## Summary of Sexual Violence Reports Received by the UC Davis Title IX Office July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016

The tables below provide a summary and disposition of the sexual violence reports received by the Title IX Office from July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016. Consult the [Sexual Violence Prevention and Response website](http://sexualviolence.ucdavis.edu/)<sup>1</sup> for more detailed information about any terms, policies, or processes referred to below. Refer questions to the Title IX Office at [vipatucd@ucdavis.edu](mailto:vipatucd@ucdavis.edu).

Sexual Violence and Other Prohibited Behaviors Reports Received by the Title IX Office		Count
Alleged Behaviors	Domestic/Dating Violence	32
	Sexual Assault	61
	Stalking	16
	Indecent Exposure	2
	Invasion of Sexual Privacy	3
	Violation of No Contact Directive	1
<b>Total reports of sexual violence and other prohibited behaviors received by the Title IX Office</b>		<b>110<sup>2</sup></b>

Actions Taken by Title IX Office and Final Disposition of Reports <sup>3</sup>		Count
There was insufficient information or grounds for the report to be formally investigated by the Title IX Office. Case closed. <sup>4</sup>		86
Report was investigated by the Title IX Office and allegations were not substantiated as a violation of the UC Sexual Violence and Harassment Policy by the deciding authority. <sup>5</sup> Case closed.		7
Report was investigated by the Title IX Office and the allegations were substantiated as a violation of the UC Sexual Violence and Harassment Policy by the deciding authority. Sanctions were issued by the sanctioning authority. <sup>6</sup>		17
Sanctions Imposed	Suspension	10
	Dismissal/Termination	5
	Other Sanctions <sup>7</sup>	2

<sup>1</sup> <http://sexualviolence.ucdavis.edu/>

<sup>2</sup> The sum of the alleged behaviors is greater than the total number of reports received because some reports include multiple allegations.

<sup>3</sup> In all cases where possible, Complainants and Respondents were informed of the campus and community resources available to them and were offered personal and academic support.

<sup>4</sup> "Insufficient information or grounds" includes: lack of identifying information about the Complainant and/or Respondent; desire of the Complainant to not pursue an investigation; and Respondent was not affiliated with UC Davis.

<sup>5</sup> For all cases with staff or academic appointee respondents and cases with student respondents investigated prior to 1/1/2016, the deciding authority is the Title IX Office. For cases with student respondents that were investigated on 1/1/2016 or later, the deciding authority is the Office of Student Support and Judicial Affairs (OSSJA).

<sup>6</sup> For student respondents the sanctioning authority is OSSJA. For cases with academic appointee respondents, the sanctioning authority is the Office of Academic Affairs. For staff respondents, the sanctioning authority is the appropriate manager of the department.

<sup>7</sup> The sanctions are based on the severity of the offense. "Other Sanctions" for student respondents can include Deferred Separation; Counseling with Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Intervention Services; and Transcript Holds.